arXiv:hep-ph/9612276v1 6 Dec 1996

IJS-TP-96/27
DTP/96/104
NUHEP-TH-96-8
December 1996

$SU(3)$ flavor symmetry breaking and semileptonic decays of heavy mesons

B. Bajc ^{a,b} [1], **S. Fajfer** ^{a,c} **and Robert J. Oakes** ^{c}

a) J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, P.O. Box 3000, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

b) Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, Great Britain

c) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Il 60208 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Assuming the $\bar{D}^0$, D^-, D_s^- and B^+, B^0, B_s^0 mesons belong to triplets of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry, we analyse the form factors in the semileptonic decays of these mesons. Both quark and meson mass differences are taken into account. We find a number of relations, in agreement with the present data as well as with previous analyses, and predict certain ratios of form factors, not yet measured, most notably the D meson decay constant $f_D = 209 \pm 39$ MeV.

PACS numbers(s): 13.20.-v, 13.20.Fc, 13.20.He

---

[1] British Royal Society Postdoctoral Fellow

The B meson semileptonic decays are of special importance in our understanding of the Standard Model (see e.g. [1, 2]). Many theoretical approaches have been developed to describe these decays: heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [3]-[10], lattice calculations; e.g., [1, 11, 12], QCD sum rules; e.g., [13]-[15], the quark-hadron duality model [16], and other quark models [17]-[22].

The heavy quark symmetry [23], [24] relates the dynamical variables of D meson decays with the decay variables of B mesons. Using the heavy quark symmetry for the c and b quarks, the ratio of decay constants $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_D)$ and the ratio of the semileptonic form factors $(f_+^{B\pi}/f_+^{BK})/(f_+^{D\pi}/f_+^{DK})$ in B and D meson decays has been estimated [4]. This approach is limited by the fact that charm and bottom quark masses, m_c and m_b, are, indeed, finite and there are corrections to the results of HQET that are of the order m_s/m_c [4, 25]. Moreover, the predictions of heavy quark effective theory are expected to be reliable only in the region of small recoil momentum ($q^2 \approx q^2_{max}$).

On the experimental side, there are many new results in D leptonic and semileptonic decays [26]. The CLEO experiment [27] has measured the ratio $|f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)| = 1.29 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.11$, assuming pole-dominance behavior of the form factors. The decay width for $D_s \to \mu\nu_\mu$ has now also been measured [26]. The accumulating experimental data [26] indicate that there is a timely need to better understand the behavior of semileptonic decay form factors at $q^2 \simeq 0$.

Recently [25], the ratios f_{B_s}/f_B and f_{D_s}/f_D, as well as the double ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_B)/(f_{D_s}/f_D)$ have been analysed, following the usual assumption that chiral symmetry is broken by quark mass terms in the energy density, and assuming the heavy mesons $\bar{D}^0, D^-, D_s^-$ and B^+, B^0, B_s^0 belong to triplets of the $SU(3)$ flavor group; i.e., assuming the *state vectors* of these mesons in their rest frame are not appreciable mixed with other representations of $SU(3)$. Following the analysis of ref. [25], and relying on the current experimental data, we analyse the effects of the $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking, due to the substantial quark and meson mass splittings, in semileptonic decays of heavy pseudoscalar mesons into light pseudoscalar mesons.

We use the usual definitions

$$< 0|A_\mu(x)|H(p) >= i f_H p_\mu e^{-ip\cdot x} \ , \tag{1}$$

and

$$< P(p')|V_\mu(x)|H(p) >= [f_+(q^2)(p_\mu + p'_\mu) + f_-(q^2)(p_\mu - p'_\mu)]e^{-i(p-p')\cdot x} \ , \tag{2}$$

where $H(p)$ is generic for one of the heavy mesons $\bar{D}^0, D^-, D_s^-$ or B^+, B^0, B_s^0 and $P(p')$ denotes one of the light pseudoscalar states π, K, η or η', and $q = p-p'$. The vector and axial-vector current operators are $V_\mu = \bar{Q}(x)\gamma_\mu q(x)$ and $A_\mu = \bar{Q}(x)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q(x)$ with $q(x)$ and $Q(x)$ being the light and heavy quark field operators, respectively. We assume, as usual, that chiral symmetry is broken only by quark mass terms. The energy density is then of the form $H_0(x) + H_1(x)$, where H_0 is chiral symmetric and H_1 breaks this symmetry. In terms of (current) quark masses and fields H_1 is given by

$$H_1(x) = \sum_i m_i \bar{q}_i(x) q_i(x) \ , \tag{3}$$

where the sum extends over all quarks, both light and heavy. The divergences of the axial vector and vector currents can readily be calculated from (3) using the local relations

$$\partial^\mu A_\mu(x) = -i[Q_5, H_1(x)] \ , \tag{4}$$

and

$$\partial^\mu V_\mu(x) = -i[Q, H_1(x)] \ , \tag{5}$$

where Q_5 and Q are the axial and the vector charges. One easily finds the matrix elements

$$< 0|\partial^\mu A_\mu(0)|H(p) >= i(m_Q + m_q) < 0|\bar{Q}(0)\gamma_5 q(0)|H(p) > \ , \tag{6}$$

and

$$< P(p')|\partial^\mu V_\mu(0)|H(p) >= i(m_Q - m_q) < P(p')|\bar{Q}(0)q(0)|H(p) > \ , \tag{7}$$

which together with (1) and (2) give the exact relations

$$f_H m_H^2 = i(m_Q + m_q) < 0|\bar{Q}\gamma_5 q|H(p) > \ , \tag{8}$$

and

$$f_+(q^2)(m_H^2 - m_P^2) + f_-(q^2)q^2 = -(m_Q - m_q) < P(p')|\bar{Q}q|H(p) > \ . \tag{9}$$

Proceeding to analyse the constraints on the form factors coming from (8) and (9), we first notice that the density operators in the matrix elements, *by definition*, belong to the same $SU(3)$ triplet representation. Next, we will assume the vacuum state is a singlet under $SU(3)$, while the light pseudoscalar mesons belong to the octet representation. While neither of these assumptions can be exact, since $SU(3)$ is broken, neglecting the mixing of other representations in these *state vectors* has proven to be an excellent approximation in numerous applications over many years [28]. It has been remarkably well established, empirically, that the breaking of light quark $SU(3)$ symmetry by only the current quark mass terms in H_1, while producing quite large mass splittings, does not appreciably mix the state vectors. We will further assume that the heavy meson state vectors transform as triplets under $SU(3)$. (Actually, it is only the short distance parts of the state vectors that need belong to pure $SU(3)$ representations, since we will be computing the matrix elements only of local operators.) The Wigner - Eckart theorem can then be applied to estimate the ratio of the matrix elements using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the $3 \times \bar{3} = 8 + 1$ represntations of the $SU(3)$ flavor group. Moreover, one can expect that this application of the Wigner - Eckart theorem to be even better for the heavy mesons since they are more compact systems than the light quark systems and $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry becomes exact in the short distance limit, where the light quark masses can be ignored altogether.

Using the defintion of the scalar form factor $f_0(q^2)$

$$f_-(q^2) = [-f_+(q^2) + f_0(q^2)](m_H^2 - m_P^2)/q^2 \ , \tag{10}$$

one also finds

$$(m_H^2 - m_P^2) f_0(q^2) = -(m_Q - m_q) < P(p')|\bar{Q}q|H(p) > \ . \tag{11}$$

Recall that in the heavy quark limit $f_+ = -f_-$ and $f_0 = 0$ [3, 4, 6]. The effects of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry breaking on semileptonic decays in HQET were discussed in refs. [3, 6, 9]. However, these approaches are expected to be valid only in the region of small recoil momentum; that is, at q^2_{max}, which is not a limitation of the present approach. We emphasize that (8) - (11) are exact relations for all q^2. Consequently, we can analyse the form factors in the region $q^2 \simeq 0$, which is more accessible, experimentally.

In the following we concentrate on the semileptonic decays of D^0, D^+, D_s^+ mesons. Putting $q^2 = 0$ in (9) and taking the ratio of the form factors for $D \to K l \nu_l$ and $D \to \pi l \nu_l$ one obtains

$$\frac{f_+^{DK}(0)(m_D^2 - m_K^2)}{f_+^{D\pi}(0)(m_D^2 - m_\pi^2)} = \frac{m_c - m_s}{m_c - m_u} \frac{< K(p')|\bar{s}c|D(p) >}{< \pi(p')|\bar{u}c|D(p) >}|_{q^2=0} \ . \tag{12}$$

Applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem, as discussed above, one expects that

$$\frac{< K(p')|\bar{s}\Gamma c|D(p) >}{< \pi(p')|\bar{u}\Gamma c|D(p) >}|_{q^2=0} \simeq 1 \tag{13}$$

($\Gamma = 1, \gamma_5$) is a very good approximation. ¿From (8), as in ref. [25], we also have

$$\frac{f_{D_s}}{f_D}\frac{m_{D_s}^2}{m_D^2} = 1 + \frac{m_s}{m_c} \ . \tag{14}$$

where m_u/m_c has been neglected. From (12) - (14) the dependence on quark masses can be eliminated, yeilding the following relation among ratios of D meson decay form factors and meson masses:

$$\frac{f_+^{DK}(0)}{f_+^{D\pi}(0)} = [2 - \frac{f_{D_s}}{f_D}\frac{m_{D_s}^2}{m_D^2}]\frac{m_D^2 - m_\pi^2}{m_D^2 - m_K^2} \ . \tag{15}$$

In (15) only f_D is not yet experimentally measured and therefore it can be used to calculate f_D:

$$f_D = f_{D_s}\frac{m_{D_s}^2}{m_D^2}[2 - \frac{f_+^{DK}(0)}{f_+^{D\pi}(0)}\frac{m_D^2 - m_K^2}{m_D^2 - m_\pi^2}]^{-1} \ . \tag{16}$$

The $D_s \to \mu\nu$ decay constant has been measured to be $f_{D_s} = 241 \pm 21 \pm 30$ MeV [29]. ¿From (16) we then predict

$$f_D = 209 \pm 39 \ \ \text{MeV}, \tag{17}$$

where we have also used the experimentally measured value $|f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)| = 1.29 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.11$ [27], assuming the positive sign for this ratio. This prediction for f_D, (17), is in a remarkable agreement with the lattice calculations [1], lending support for our approach. (For $f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0) = -1.29$ we obtain the rather unlikly value $f_D = 98$ MeV.) The error in (17) reflects only the experimental errors on $f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)$ and f_{D_s}. One can also determine the ratio of the current quark masses, $m_s/m_c = 0.27 \pm 0.13$, from (14) and (15), which also agrees with the data [26], although the uncertainty is large.

We can empirically check the validity of our approach using independent data as follows: The decay rates for $D_s \to \eta(\eta')\mu\nu_\mu$ have been measured [26]. If we assume that the η and η' states mix as usual ($\eta = \eta_8 cos\theta - \eta_0 sin\theta$ and $\eta' = \eta_8 sin\theta + \eta_0 cos\theta$), and use (9), we have

$$f_+^{D_s\eta}(0) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(cos\theta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}sin\theta)f_+^{DK}(0) \ , \tag{18}$$

and

$$f_+^{D_s\eta'}(0) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(sin\theta - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}cos\theta)f_+^{DK}(0)\ . \tag{19}$$

¿From the $D \to Kl\nu_l$ decay data the form factor $f_+^{DK}(0) = 0.74 \pm 0.03$ [26]. This value was extrapolated from the branching ratio assuming pole-dominance. Assuming that the form factors $f_+^{D_s\eta(\eta')}$ are pole-dominated, too, (see, e.g. [30]) we then also have

$$f_+^{D_s\eta(\eta')}(q^2) = \frac{f_+^{D_s\eta(\eta')}(0)}{1 - q^2/m_{D_s^*}^2}\ . \tag{20}$$

Calculating the decay rates for $D_s \to \eta(\eta')\mu\nu_\mu$ as in ref. [31] one finds

$$\Gamma(H \to Pl\nu_l) = \frac{G_F^2 m_H^2}{24\pi^3}\int_0^{y_m} dy \frac{|V_{qq'}f_+(0)|^2}{(1 - \frac{m_H^2}{m_H^{*2}}y)^2}[\frac{(m_H^2(1-y) + m_P^2)^2}{4m_H^2} - m_P^2]^{3/2}\ , \tag{21}$$

where $V_{qq'}$ denotes the CKM matrix element, and m_H^* is the mass of the heavy vector meson causing the pole in the form factors. In Table I we present the branching ratios for the $D_s \to \eta(\eta')\mu\nu_\mu$ decays, assuming the typical values of the mixing angle $\theta = -10^0$,-20^0, and -23^0, as given in [26]. Table I indicates that $\theta = -10^0$ is prefered, although the experimental data are not very precise, which is consistent with the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass relation [32], which lends further phenomenological support for our approach.

Finally, we note that from (10) it follows that $f_0(0) = f_+(0)$, and therefore (15), (18) and (19) are then also valid for the scalar form factor $f_0(0)$.

The semileptonic decays of B mesons can be divided into two categories. First are the usual weak decays $B \to \pi l\nu_l$, where knowledge of the form factor

f_+ enables one to estimate the CKM matrix element $|V_{ub}|$ [33]. The second type is the flavor-changing neutral decays $B \to K\mu^+\mu^-$. The calculation of the rate for these decays not only requires knowing the form factors f_+^{BK} but also the additional form factor h^{BK}, defined by the matrix element $< K(p')|\bar{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}s|B(p) >= -2ih^{BK}(p'_\mu p_\nu - p'_\nu p_\mu)$. These flavor changing neutral decays are beyond the scope of the present analysis, but are discussed in [3, 4, 6] using HQET.

To analyse the charged semileptonic decays, we make the replacement $c \to b$ in (14), finding

$$\frac{f_{B_s}}{f_B}\frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_B^2} = 1 + \frac{m_s}{m_b} \; . \tag{22}$$

Because there are fewer experimental data on B meson decays, and since m_s is poorly known, we will use the estimate $m_s/m_c = 0.27$, derived from (14) and (15) above, together with the better established values of the current quark masses $m_c = 1.5$ GeV and $m_b = 5$ GeV [26]. Then, with $m_s/m_b = 0.081$, we obtain

$$\frac{f_{B_s}}{f_B} = 1.04 \; , \tag{23}$$

which is very close to the values obtained previously [1, 4, 25]. Using (15) with the replacement $c \to b$, we also find

$$\frac{f_+^{BK}(0)}{f_+^{B\pi}(0)} = [2 - \frac{f_{B_s}}{f_B}\frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_B^2}]\frac{m_B^2 - m_\pi^2}{m_B^2 - m_K^2} \; , \tag{24}$$

from which one obtains

$$\frac{f_+^{B\pi}(0)}{f_+^{BK}(0)} = 1.08\ . \tag{25}$$

Combining this result with the experimental value of $|f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)|$, the resulting double ratio is

$$|\frac{f_+^{BK}(0)}{f_+^{B\pi}(0)}/\frac{f_+^{DK}(0)}{f_+^{D\pi}(0)}| = 1.19. \tag{26}$$

We note that the heavy quark effective theory prediction for this ratio is 1 in the regime of q^2_{max} [4].

While the majority of the present experimental data comes from the decay $\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+ l\nu_l$, within the antitriplet B^-, $\bar{B}^0$, and $\bar{B}^0_s$ there are several other semileptonic decays to light mesons which are also of interest. These other decays also offer a possible means to determine the CKM matrix elemen $|V_{ub}|$. Following our analysis above, with the replacement $c \to b$, we have

$$\frac{f_+^{B^+\pi^0}(0)}{f_+^{B^0\pi^-}(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{f_+^{B^+\eta}(0)}{f_+^{B^0\pi^-}(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(cos\theta - \sqrt{2}sin\theta)\ , \tag{28}$$

$$\frac{f_+^{B^+\eta'}(0)}{f_+^{B^0\pi^-}(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(sin\theta + \sqrt{2}cos\theta)\ , \tag{29}$$

and

$$\frac{f_+^{B^0_sK^-}(0)}{f_+^{B^0\pi^-}(0)} = \frac{m_B^2 - m_\pi^2}{m_{B_s}^2 - m_K^2}\ . \tag{30}$$

Recent CLEO measurments [33] give the branching ratio $BR(\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+ l\nu_l) = (1.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$, and $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = 0.080 \pm 0.015$, assuming $V_{cb} =$

0.0381. Using these data we can make predictions for the other decay widths relative to $B^0 \to \pi^- l \nu_l$. In Table II we present the predictions for the ratios $\Gamma(B \to P l \nu_l)/\Gamma(B^0 \to \pi^- l \nu_l)$, where B is either a B^+ or B_s^0 meson and P is a light pseudoscalar meson, for all three values of the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle θ. Note that we also have assumed the vector meson pole dominance as in (20).

We analysed the effects of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry breaking on the semileptonic decays of heavy to light pseudoscalar mesons. The ratios of the matrix elements of local quark operators, which transform as triplets, by definition under flavor $SU(3)$, were estimated using the Wigner-Eckart theorem for the *state vectors*, but including the effects of the substantial mass splitings. Using experimental data for $f_+^{DK}(0)$, $|f_+^{D\pi}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)|$, and f_{D_s} we predict $f_D = 209 \pm 39$ MeV, in good agreement with the lattice calculations [1].

We also determined the ratios $f_+^{D_s \eta(\eta')}(0)/f_+^{DK}(0)$ assuming that the form factors $f_+(q^2)$ are pole-dominated. We tested our approach using the $D_s \to \eta(\eta') l \nu_l$ decay rates and verified its consistency with previous analyses.

Within the flavor triplet of B^+, B^0, and B_s^0 we calculated the ratios $f_{B_s}/f_B = 1.04$, and $f_+^{B\pi}(0)/f_+^{BK}(0) = 1.08$, which agree well with previous estimates. Finally, the ratio of decay widths $\Gamma(B \to P l \nu_l)/\Gamma(B^0 \to \pi^- l \nu_l)$ were predicted.

We emphasize that assuming only that the meson *state vectors* are not appreciably affected by flavor $SU(3)$ breaking allows the effects of the substantial mass splitings due to flavor $SU(3)$ breaking to be taken into account very straightforwardly, leading to several relations among D and B meson decay form factors, which are in good agreement with the data.

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia (B.B. and S.F.), by the British Royal Society (B.B.) and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, under grant No. DE-FG02-91-ER4086 (R.J.O.). S.F. thanks the Department of Physics and Astronomy at Northwestern University, for very kind hospitality. She also thanks D. Bećirević for many very useful discussions.

References

[1] G. Martinelli, preprint ROME-1155-1996, hep-ph/9610455.

[2] A. Ali and D. London, DESY-96-140, hep-ph/9607392.

[3] C. Boyd and B. Grinstein, Nucl. Phys. **B442** (1995) 205.

[4] B. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3067.

[5] C. Boyd, B. Grinstein and R.F. Lebed, Nuovo Cim. **A10** (1996) 863.

[6] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 1076.

[7] G. Burdman, Z. Ligeti, M. Neubert and Y. Nir, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 2331.

[8] M. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2188.

[9] G. Burdman and J. Donoghue, Phys. Lett.**B280** (1992) 287.

[10] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. **B292** (1992) 371.

[11] M. Crisafulli, G. Martinelli and C. T. Sachrajda, Phys. Lett. **B223** (1989) 90; V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli and T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. **B356** (1991) 301.

[12] D. Bećirević, preprint LPTHE-Orsay 96/14, hep-ph/9603298, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.

[13] P. Ball, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 3190.

[14] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2182.

[15] A. Khodjamirian and R. Rückl, preprint WUE-ITP-96-020, MPI-PhT/96-108, hep-ph/9610367.

[16] G. Altarelli, N. Cabibbo, G. Corbo. L. Maiani and G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. **B208** (1982) 365.

[17] N. Isgur, D. Scora, B. Grinsten and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D 39** (1989) 799.

[18] D. Scora and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D 52** (1995) 2783.

[19] D. Melikhov, Phys. Rev. **D 53** (1996) 2460.

[20] W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. **D 53** (1996) 1349; ERRATUM **D54** (1996) 5904.

[21] B. Stech, HD-THEP-96-35, hep-ph/9608297.

[22] J. G. Körner and G. A. Schuller, Z. Phys. **C 38** (1988) 511.

[23] N. Isgur and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B232** (1989) 113; **B237** (1990) 527.

[24] H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **B240** (1990) 447.

[25] R. J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. Lett **73** (1994) 381.

[26] Review of Particle Properties 1996, Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 1.

[27] M. S. Alam et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 1311.

[28] See, for example, *The Eightfold Way*, M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, eds. (Benjamin, New York, 1964).

[29] J. Richman, rapporteur talk given at the XXVIII International Conference onHigh Energy Physics, 25-31 July 1996, Warsaw (Poland), to appear in the Proceedings.

[30] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. **C34** (1987)103.

[31] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer and R. J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. **D 53** (1996) 4957.

[32] M. Gell-Mann, California Institute of Technology Report No. CTSL-20 1961 (unpublished); Phys. Rev. **125**, 1067 (1962); S. Okubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **27**, 949 (1962); **28** 24 (1962).

[33] M. Artuso, preprint HEPSY 96-2, hep-ex/9610009.

θ	$D_s \to \eta \mu \nu_\mu$	$D_s \to \eta' \mu \nu_\mu$
-10^0	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$
-20^0	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$
-23^0	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$8.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
exp.	$(3.3 \pm 1.0)\%$	$(8.7 \pm 3.4) \cdot 10^{-3}$

Table 1: The branching ratios for $D_s \to \eta \mu \nu_\mu$ and $D_s \to \eta' \mu \nu_\mu$ decays calculated for typical values of the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle θ.

$B \to P$	$\Gamma(B \to P l \nu_l)/\Gamma(B^0 \to \pi^- l \nu_l)$
$B^+ \to \pi^0$	0.5
$B^+ \to \eta(\theta = -10^0)$	0.21
$B^+ \to \eta(\theta = -20^0)$	0.29
$B^+ \to \eta(\theta = -23^0)$	0.31
$B^+ \to \eta'(\theta = -10^0)$	0.16
$B^+ \to \eta'(\theta = -20^0)$	0.11
$B^+ \to \eta'(\theta = -23^0)$	0.09
$B_s^0 \to K^-$	0.92

Table 2: The ratios $\Gamma(B \to P l \nu_l)/\Gamma(B^0 \to \pi^- l \nu_l)$.